

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 TOKYO 07475 230620Z

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 ISO-00 L-03 SP-02 IO-13  
AGRE-00 FEA-01 ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00  
COME-00 EPG-02 DODE-00 FPC-01 H-01 INR-07 INT-05  
NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-04 USIA-06 OES-07 SS-15  
STR-04 TRSE-00 ACDA-07 /122 W  
-----230624Z 001741 /20

R 230555Z MAY 77

FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7964

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

C O N F I D E N T I A L TOKYO 7475

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG

SUBJECT: CIEC ENERGY COMMISSION: PROPOSAL FOR CONTINUING ENERGY  
DIALOGUE

REF: STATE 113532

1. SUMMARY. JAPANESE RESPONSE TO DRAFT PROPOSAL FOR CIEC  
ENERGY COMMISSION IS GENERALLY POSITIVE. THEY REGARD IT AS  
DESIRABLE TO ESTABLISH SOME SORT OF CONSULTATIVE GROUP WHICH  
PROVIDES FOR A CONTINUING DIALOGUE ON ENERGY. END SUMMARY.

2. FOREIGN MINISTRY HAS PROVIDED INITIAL JAPANESE RESPONSE  
TO BOSWORTH DRAFT FORMAL PROPOSAL WHICH COULD BE TABLED AT  
SENIOR OFFICIALS' MEETING IN ADVANCE OF CIEC. JAPANESE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TOKYO 07475 230620Z

DESCRIBE THEIR RESPONSE AS BASICALLY FAVORABLE.

3. THEY REGARD IT AS BEST TO CREATE A CONSULTATIVE GROUP  
ON ENERGY AS A SORT OF "FREE STANDING BODY", I.E. WITHOUT A  
SPECIFIC SPONSOR SUCH AS THE IBRD. HOWEVER, THEY UNDERSTAND  
THAT HAVING NO SPONSOR COULD CREATE DIFFICULTIES AND THEREFORE  
CONSIDER U.S. SUGGESTION FOR A SPONSOR AS THE NEXT BEST ALTERNA-

TIVE. HOWEVER, THEY DESIRE TO MAKE THE CONSULTATIVE GROUP AS INDEPENDENT AS POSSIBLE. THEY WOULD PREFER IBRD OR UNDP SPONSORSHIP TO THAT OF THE JOINT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION BECAUSE THE LATTER MAY NOT HAVE ADEQUATE STAFF. JAPANESE POSITION ON SPONSORSHIP IS FLEXIBLE.

4. JAPANESE ARE SOMEWHAT CONCERNED THAT THE U.S. PAPER MAY BE TOO PRECISE IN SOME RESPECTS FOR PRESENTATION TO THE CIEC MINISTERIAL, ESPECIALLY THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE PROPOSED CONSULTATIVE GROUP. THEY WOULD SUPPORT CHANGES WHICH WOULD MAKE THE TERMS OF REFERENCE MORE VAGUE.

5. THEY ALSO NOTE THAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE CONSULTATIVE GROUP IS RATHER SPECIFICALLY DEFINED. THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO ACCEPT LESS PRECISE LANGUAGE ON THIS POINT ALSO.

6. JAPANESE NOTE THAT THE U.S. TEXT DOES NOT INCLUDE CONCRETE REFERENCE TO PRICE ISSUES. THEY REGARD THIS WORDING AS APPROPRIATE AND WOULD LIKE TO AVOID SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO ENERGY PRICES. HOWEVER, THEY WOULD NOT WISH TO EXPLICITLY EXCLUDE PRICE QUESTIONS FROM THE TERMS OF REFERENCE.

SHOESMITH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENT DRAFT, ENERGY, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, MEETINGS, ORGANIZATION & MANAGEMENT  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 23-May-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977TOKYO07475  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770182-1040  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** TOKYO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770526/aaaaawqv.tcl  
**Line Count:** 84  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 3fdb568b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EB  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 77 STATE 113532  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2400461  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CIEC ENERGY COMMISSION: PROPOSAL FOR CONTINUING ENERGY DIALOGUE  
**TAGS:** ENRG, JA, US, CIEC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odhc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/3fdb568b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009